

From: Richard Bauer/R9/USEPA/US
Sent: 1/18/2012 12:01:01 PM

To: "Cynthia Caporale" <Caporale.Cynthia@epamail.epa.gov>
CC: "Wilding.Stevie@epamail.epa.gov"; <Wilding.Stevie@epamail.epa.gov>; "Ziyad.Rajabi" <Rajabi.Ziyad@epamail.epa.gov>; **Ex. 4 - CBI** >; "R9RSCC@EPA" <R9RSCC@epamail.epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Dimock GW Site - Sampling Next week

As I mentioned to Stevie, we only have standards in house for methane, ethane, and ethene (and the surrogate, acetylene). I believe we may have put a request in for propane and butane standards, but I don't think the order has even been placed yet. I'll have to double check. In any event they are not currently in our possession and we will not have time to perform any method performance verification for those compounds prior to samples arriving next week. I think the best I can promise is that we can perform the test and report methane, ethane, and ethene, as initially requested.

If we manage to provide additional analyte data that will be icing on the cake, but realistically I don't think it's going to happen.

(Complicating the issue is that we have another Superfund project scheduled to start 1/30 that is also submitting 60 samples for RSK-175 analyses. This should not interfere with running and reporting the Dimock samples, but will eat up any remaining available instrument time, so performing method development for additional analytes is probably not going to happen any time soon).

If the lack of propane and butane is a show stopper then I'm sorry, but we would still be happy to perform the TPH analyses.

Richard Bauer
U.S. EPA Region 9 Laboratory
1337 South 46th Street, Bldg 201
Richmond, CA 94804
(510) 412-2312

From: Cynthia Caporale/ESC/R3/USEPA/US
To: Richard Bauer/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 01/18/2012 07:44 AM
Subject: Re: Dimock GW Site - Sampling Next week

Ex. 5 - Deliberative